

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,634 09/29/2004		Xianzhong Chen	04-2-406	5633		
24252	7590	07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
OSRAM SY			WON, BU	WON, BUMSUK		
100 ENDICO DANVERS,		_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2879		
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,634	CHEN, XIANZHONG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bumsuk Won	2879	

		Bumsuk Won	2879	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPL	LY FILED 13 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
I. ⊠ The r this a place a Re	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🚫 - b) 🔲 -	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
nave been f under 37 Cf set forth in (may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended from: (1) the expiration date of the state of the	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
date	Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 July 2006. A brief in of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a eal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply FNTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but ariar to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecance
(a)	They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
• • • =	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		d	
(c) ∟	They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) [appeal; and/or ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
(4)∟	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of infany rej	colod claims.	
i 🗌 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant monament	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
	allowable claim(s).	iovable ii odbiinted iii a ocparate,	among mod amoname	an ourrooming the
7. 🛭 For phow	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		II be entered and an e	explanation of
	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to:			
	m(s) rejected: 1,3,4,8-12.			
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVI	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente shov	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(its to provide a 1).
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. 🛛 The	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu e Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	c . 1
13.	ner:		Jourh	Nilli HWILLIAMS
			JOSEPI PRIMAR	H WILLIAMS Y EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 7/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 8-12 under 35 USC 102 is not proper because Izumi does not disclose the x-y coordinates.

The Examiner disagrees. Izumi discloses a yellow phosphor ZnS:Cu,Cl,Mn (col 14, lines 5-11). Izumi also discloses a blue green phosphor ZnS:Cu,Cl (col 14, lines 5-11). It is well known in the art modifying the amount of activators (Cu, Cl, Mn) shifts chromacity values (x-y coordinates) to emit different colors with different wavelength. (Lee 7,067,073 discloses in abstract, columnum 1, line 62 - column 2, line 8 that changing the amount of activators changes colors. Also, Fan 2003/0230741 discloses in paragraph 8 that combining phosphors with different blend will change colors). Therefore, giving one of ordinary skill in the art a reasonable expectation of success that modifying the amount of phosphor activators of Izumi will result in the claimed properties recited in claims 1, 3, and 8-12.

JOSEPH WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINES